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LUNCH!!!
Why Conduct A Scenario?

- Collective problem solving
- Providing a common foundation
- Identifying flaws and strengths in the system
- Serving as an advocacy tool
- Engaging and informing stakeholders and community decision makers
- Examining alternative futures
- Exercising and improving
- Testing and training
Implementing the Scenario: Needs

- Community involvement (especially with existing earthquake safety groups)
- Build collaborative relationships
- Stakeholder buy-in
- Compatible team
- Public credibility/acceptance
- Incorporate familiar aspects of the community that they can easily recognize
- Keep it simple!
- Involve policy-makers
- Specific calls to action
- Consider scenarios at various levels
- Living document – adaptable to new results

Slides modified from Wong, et. al Presentation “Promoting the Development and Uses of Earthquake Scenarios”, EERI Annual Meeting, Salt Lake City, 2009
Workshop Goals & Outcomes

- To identify major lessons from past scenario efforts and areas of improvement
- To engage with stakeholders and identify requirements
- To discuss major issues related to three technical areas:
  - Geologic hazards & Geotechnical Engineering
  - Buildings, Lifelines & Infrastructure
  - Social & Economic Impacts
Workshop Goals & Outcomes

- To discuss cross-border implications and collaboration requirements
- To develop specific recommendations for path forward for scenario effort.
- To identify key stakeholders for each technical area and a process to engage them.
Workshop Goals & Outcomes

- To set up working groups for each technical discipline that will meet (face to face or conference call ...) to develop the scenario.
  - Each working group should have a leader or two
  - Working groups coordinated through EERI-SD
- To decide the time frame for working groups to complete their tasks
- To decide on a time frame for 2nd workshop to take place.
  - End of the year? In six months?
- To determine funding mechanisms
Technical Sessions

- Technical Discipline 1: Geologic Hazards & Geotechnical Engineering
  - Facilitator: Jorge Meneses (Group Delta Consultants, Inc.)
  - Presenter: Tom Rockwell (SDSU); “Proposed Scenario Earthquake”
  - Panel: Tom Rockwell, Jerry Treiman (CGS), Luis Mendoza (Tijuana)
Technical Sessions

- Technical Discipline 2: Buildings, Lifelines & Infrastructure
  - Facilitator: Alvaro Celestino (Degenkolb)
  - Presenter: Anthony Court (AB Court & Associates); “Building Inventory & Seismic Vulnerability In the San Diego Region”
  - Panel: David Swanson, Afsaneh Ahmadi (City of San Diego Building Officials), Doug Nakama (City of San Diego Fire Officials), Anthony Court; Alberto Castro (Proteccion Civil Tijuana (Civil Protection, City of Tijuana))
Technical Sessions

- Technical Session 3: Social & Economic Impacts
  - **Facilitator:** Michelle Chen (UCSD)
  - **Presenter:** Ali Fattah (City of San Diego Development Services Department),
  - **Panel:** Tom Amabile (OES), Beth Reddy (UCI), Ali Fattah, Mario Rodriguez (Proteccion Civil Del Estado de BC (Civil Protection, State of Baja California))

- We will provide some discussion points but these sessions are primarily to brainstorm ideas in these technical areas
Let's do Lunch!